Public-private cooperation – some reflections

Dr. Laurens Klerkx – Communication and Innovation Studies - OECD AKS conference 15-17 June 2011





<u>PPP's are form of systemic cooperation –</u> hence also system failures

Network failures:

- too strong networks groupthink, no new combinations
- Too weak networks no trust, uncertainty about complementarities
- Institutional failures:
 - Hard institutional failures fragmented support instruments, high transaction costs
 - Different incentives of partners, accountability mechanisms, norms



In response to Richard Gray's presentation

- Levy based RD&E can be effective giving voice to those who pay for and benefit from RD&E.
- True, but:
 - 'economic demand' does not equal 'substantive demand' intensive participation of farmers is needed and this should be internalized in agenda & priority setting and R&D execution mechanisms
 - Levy funding, although a means to address private good issues, needs to avoid inward orientation – broadening stakeholder network in agenda setting and think about creating enabling environment for R&D embedding



In response to Phil O'Reilly's presentation

- Business needs to overcome challenges regarding the benefits and risks of AKS, that are influenced by their uptake and acceptance by government, the public and business itself
- Indicates need to stimulate and facilitate cooperation for innovation
 - complex 'open innovation' process management, to enhance understanding between different 'worlds', reduce risks and uncertainties



In response to Andy Hall's presentation

Partnerships come in different sizes and shapes
 They do not automatically perform well autonomously



Following the systemic view, what does this imply for policies?
Smits and Kuhlmann (2004), systemic instruments:

- 1. The management of interfaces;
- 2. Constructing and deconstruction (sub) systems;
- 3. Providing a platform for learning and experimenting by creating conditions;
- 4. Providing an infrastructure for strategic intelligence; and
- 5. Stimulating demand articulation, strategy and vision development.



Systemic intermediaries: innovation brokers

- Bridge gaps and divides between groups/sectors/cultures
- Match demand and supply on knowledge market
- Help platforms, networks and platforms to perform and be adaptive to play 'innovation poker' (Chesbrough)

As side activity of 'traditional' AKS parties (research, extension) or as independent organizations (e.g., innovation centres, within science parks, related to incubators)





Expanding the AKS pillars and include a network coordination pillar

- Innovation brokers can be a policy tool to enhance coherence/reduce transaction costs in use broader innovation support policy instruments
- Problem is their 'stealth nature': low private willingness to pay, attribution problems
- Role implies a honest broker position and considerable manoeuvring space



Thank you for your attention

More information/publications: Laurens.Klerkx@wur.nl

See also forthcoming World Bank Sourcebook on Agricultural Innovation Systems

